## PASSAIC VALLEY WATER COMMISSION **SPECIAL** MEETING OF August 27, 2025

## (OPEN SESSION)

## COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

RIGO SANCHEZ, President

GERALD G. FRIEND, Vice President

CARMEN DEPADUA, Treasurer

RUBY COTTON, Secretary

JEFFREY LEVINE

RONALD VAN RENSALIER

DEBORAH RIZZI

## ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES MUELLER, Executive Director

LOUIS AMODIO, Interim Business

Administrator/Administrative Secretary

YAACOV BRISMAN, General Counsel (Absent)

YITZ WEISS, Comptroller

1 MR. AMODIO: On the roll. 2 3 (Roll call was taken, all Commissioners respond in the affirmative) 4 5 6 MR. AMODIO: Thank you. 7 The time is 9:31 a.m. You have a quorum. 8 9 All of the requirements of the Open Public 10 Meetings Act have been met. Notices have been 11 furnished to all Commissioners; City Clerks of 12 Paterson, Passaic, and Clifton; North Jersey 13 Herald News; The Record - Passaic County edition 14 and the Commission's Executive Staff with a copy 15 posted at the main bulletin board at the Clifton 16 facility. 17 18 (Pledge of Allegiance) 19 20 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Thank you, guys. 21 The idea was not to have a meeting in 22 August and that was the whole idea, but 23 unfortunately, the events that have occurred, main 24 breaks specifically that happened in Paterson, has

triggered this meeting so we could discuss, you

1 know, first of all, you know, basically what happened, what decisions could we make, and how do 2 3 we go about it and kind of place priorities in place moving forward. 4 So I thank you for the prompt response for 5 6 coming so soon to the meeting and let's have a 7 discussion. I appreciate you guys making the time and effort to be here in this meeting. 8 9 MR. AMODIO: Mr. Mueller, I guess we'll 10 start with you. 11 MR. MUELLER: Sure. 12 So, good morning, everybody. 13 I am sharing screen. Commissioner 14 Depadua, can you see my screen? And Lynann, can 15 you see the screen? 16 COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: I could see your 17 letters. I could hear you and see your letters. 18 Commissioner Depadua, I did email you the 19 presentation as well, so if you check your emails 20 you can follow along. I'll tell you the page 21 number. 22 I'm sorry that's not sharing. 23 So I'll get into it. So, good morning, 24 Commissioners. I appreciate you coming together 25 for this Special Meeting.

I'm going to run through the incident, timeline. You know, some of the complexities we ran into, our response, interim measures, subsequent incident on North 1st and Arch, and kind of what we're looking at from here, you know, next steps.

So just to start. Hopefully, my slides advance for those who could see the slides.

So the initial incident occurred on August 8th, 2025, and at 2:00 a.m. the Pumping Station detected a major main break in the system. They communicated with the treatment plant and they increased the chlorine residuals, the residual at the plant to 2 milligrams per liter in the system.

At 6:00 a.m. the actual leak was found near Hinchcliffe Stadium. We did send people out in the dark. They did not locate the leak until daylight and then the actual surface expression of the leak was found at 6:00 a.m. in a heavily wooded area right next to Hinchcliffe Stadium.

Right after that, I triggered our incident command structure to set up folks and be ready to respond to this event.

We did institute a Boil Water Advisory for

all of Paterson at first and it expanded to

Prospect Park due to loss of pressure. I'll talk

about that in a little bit, but that was an

overabundance of caution. It turns out half of

Paterson did not need to be in that Boil Water and

we did lift them incrementally about a week later,

but at first we put all of Paterson in Boil Water.

No other parts of our system were impacted other

than Paterson and Prospect Park.

It did impact, and I'll talk about this in a little bit, it did impact Haledon and North Haledon, which are run by the Manchester Utility Authority, and we did communicate with them, but we don't run that system. So it did impact our wholesaler Manchester Utility Authority.

Our emergency contractor, JF Creamer, was called in to begin digging in the area of the leak due to the large volume of the water at the surface. This made digging extremely difficult and required isolation of the main.

Complicating that, there were multiple pipelines that run through this area, including a 24 inch, a 30 inch, and 48-inch transmission mains, and it was unclear which main was impacted initially. It was suspected that it was either

the 24 or the 30 inch. I have a map in a second.

I'll explain that. But we did not know exactly which main was impacted.

2.1

Distribution staff, PVWC Distribution staff, started to locate valves in the system to isolate the leak starting with the 24-inch main. They moved onto the 30-inch main because the leak was not responding to the turning of the 24-inch valves and, again, we were trying to figure which mains it was on. This was part of that process, just turning valves and seeing how the leak responded.

By exercising the valves, the leak did diminish. This was somewhat of an estimate, but in the field they're saying it went down by about half by turning those valves in the 30. So it was good that we made somewhat of an impact, but it was not enough for dewatering pumps to keep up with and really inhibited our ability to dig because there was still too much water coming out of the hole.

Like I said, Manchester Utility Authority was notified that we had low pressure from Burhans Ave. Pumping Station that pumps over to Haledon and North Haledon and then by 11:30 that night all

of the valves had been operated and the leak was still too great to effectively excavate, so I made the decision to install a line stop on the 30-inch pipe to better isolate the line.

So that's day one.

I can keep moving. There's more slides and some more details. If there's any questions on this event...

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I think, you know, whatever happens, we got to know what happened, but basically, I think the anxiety that I personally had and maybe some of the other commissioners is, you know, what is the ramifications of this? I know you guys went into the well and did a lot of work and you guys did a terrific job with the stop and whoever did the work. I think we are more concerned in terms of what's going on with the system now, you know, where are we? Could this happen tomorrow again? Are we prepared? Do we have the funds? How much did it cost? What's going to be our priority? If anybody has any other opinions, please bring it up.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I agree with you, Rigo. I think, you know, basically, whatever

you're saying now, Jim, you've either given us in reports already or we've seen on TV at night or whatever, so I agree with Rigo. I think I'm more concerned about A...

(Zoom interruption)

MR. AMODIO: One second.

(Whereupon, Commissioner Levine is present at 9:40 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I'm more concerned, like Rigo said, about, you know, what are we doing to forestall this from happening again in the future? You know, I don't think our five-year plan or ten-year plan encompasses what really has to be done at this point and if we have to go out and bond and get things done quicker, you know, that would be my proposal, because if we know that there's a dangerous situation out there, you know, I think we've got to address it quicker than 2028 or 2030, which are some of these things that are on your list that you have attached here.

You know, one of my concerns too is, you know, supposedly a consultant was working on this project and, you know, was scheduled according to

the work was in the paper or that you were quoted to say that it was supposed to be undertaken at the end of the year 2026. I mean, you know, we're in 2025.

I'd like an explanation, number one, why it takes so long for a consultant and the project to be initiated at the end of 2026 and do we need consultants when the pipe breaks? Boy, we got in there quick without a consultant and obviously knew what to do to replace the pipe.

So are there other areas of concern that we can do the same thing, just go in and get the work done and it will cost us 50 percent less because we won't have the overtime cost and things of that nature?

So those are some of my concerns that I would like addressed. I'll leave it at that for now.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: Jim, if I may? In the beginning I did not know it was three mains.

I thought it was just a 30-inch pipe that bust. A day later I see 24, I see 48. Now, these three mains, you need to tell us where they connect to, like, what city 24 goes to, what city 30 goes to, what city 48 goes to. I think that's what we need

25

to know, because in the beginning it just said 30-inch main and then it said, oh, it will be back up by 10:30. I said it's not going to be back up by 10:30 at night. This was in the morning. know it's going to take a lot longer than that. So these three mains, tell me what these three mains, they run through and who they service, because they were all about servicing, because I didn't have a water problem, my water So the one side of town in Paterson that was most affected was that 1st Ward going up that hill up there by Prospect Park and Haledon and North Haledon. See, the issue that we were trying to figure out is that who was impacted. Once people discovered they could get free water, then everybody came out and they made it more like, I'm trying to say, you have water in your house, you can shut your water on, why are you on line. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I think people were COMMISSIONER COTTON: Afraid? COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: That they were going to lose water.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: Okay. Jim, just

tell us, where does the 30 inch go? Where does the 24 inch go? Where does the 48 inch go?

MR. MUELLER: So they go to different areas. The 24-inch actually discharges to the area where we lifted the Boil Water. The 30 discharges to areas -- I'll have to get you a map, Commissioner. I did not have a chance to pull that together for this. I can certainly provide it. But that was a lot of the details we went through as we lifted that initial Boil Water for the south of Broadway part in Paterson. That did not lose pressure to the severe amount of the other areas and we were able to lift that Boil Water soon.

So I'll have to get that to you. I don't have it here, I'll have to get you that map. We have a ton of information, I was just trying to give some basic information.

You know, it sounds like there's some impatience. I would ask you to bear with me because, Commissioner Friend, your question is how come we can't do this quicker. Some of the things I'm going to walk you through, I'm trying to explain why it takes longer. But the bottom line, if you want the punch line, the bottom line is we

24

25

can't do this guicker because we could do it quicker if we wanted to disrupt service to everyone. So the real trick is how you address these areas without knocking a hundred thousand people into a Boil Water or no water. That's the real trick. That has to be designed and that's why these areas take longer and we place -- we disrupted people for six or seven days from water, that's how we did this so quickly. When we do the replacements you're all talking about, we don't disrupt a hundred thousand people from water, we could do it quicker, but the disruption is immense and the cost to support that is immense. So that's one reason why it takes longer because we have to design it in a way to minimize impacts to customers. Hopefully, that makes some sense.

 $\label{eq:theorem} \mbox{The detail I was going to provide is to} \\ \mbox{show you some of the $--$}$ 

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I think that's well said and that's something we should emphasize to the public and to the people. I think that's a great explanation why because it cannot be one day to another.

My concern, whatever happened happened, the question is, Yitz, do we have any reserve

1 money? 2 MR. WEISS: Yes, absolutely. PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: What do we have? 3 MR. WEISS: We have an operating reserve 4 in case of emergencies. We have 18 million, over 5 18 million. 6 7 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: So how are we going to end up with this? 8 9 MR. WEISS: I'm going to leave it up to 10 our Executive Director to finish his report. MR. MUELLER: Listen, I'll skip ahead. 11 12 understand the impatience, so I won't go through 13 the details and the complexity, but I think it's 14 understood maybe just based on what I said. It's 15 very complex, that's why I have to design these 16 things so we don't impact so many people. 17 With that said, I'll just jump to the 18 financial impacts to date of what we know. 19 So it ended up that both mains were 20 disrupted. Originally, we thought it was the 30 2.1 because of the valves we tested. That's what I 22 was trying to set up in the beginning, and I won't 23 go through all the details, but the way the system 24 responded, the 24 and 30 are actually 25 interconnected. It was not shown on any plans we

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had. These pipes are 120 to 140 years old, so are the valves. And we had no indication that they were interconnected there, but they had to be because of the way they were reacting to what we were trying to do. We isolated the 30 and tried to recharge the 24 and water came out the 30. So that's how we knew there was an interconnection.

Anyway, so both lines were impacted. 24 and 30-inch capital construction for pipe and valve replacements at Hinchcliffe are eligible for a loan under the Emergency response funding with the State of New Jersey DEP with a hundred percent principal loan forgiveness, meaning we don't have to pay it back, up to \$3 million. The estimated cost for that response, for the 24 and 30-inch pipes, where we laid 300 feet of new pipe for 24 and 300 feet of new pipe for the 30 is in the \$3 million ballpark. I don't have the exact invoices yet from the contractor, but we have daily records. We monitor this 24/7 so we have records at production of the site. When they submit their invoices, we will compare it to the production at the site, confirm the costs are appropriate. if it's in the \$3 million ballpark, that's labor and material at this point. But once we get the

invoice, I'll have a better sense of that. Like I

said, detailed records are maintained on work

performed to date and we will compare to the

invoice when the contractor submits it.

So, again, it's in the details of what I just skipped over, but we had a break on the 42-inch at North 1st and Arch on August 23rd, the morning of August 23rd. So that we responded to was not on the same scale, anywhere near the same scale as the Hinchcliffe break. We responded to that, you know, Creamer responded to that. Took about a day and a half to isolate that. There was a lot of water coming out. Again, I have pictures in here. You can look at it at your leisure.

But, again, there are details on that in here.

may be eligible for a hundred percent principal loan forgiveness for that, up to \$3 million for that repair. The estimated cost for the project is much less than 3 million. We've kept our detailed records. I don't have a better estimate than that other than, I mean, if I had to give a number right now, I'd probably say ballpark of a million, but we are going to put in 80 feet of new pipe in that location as well. So we're not just

doing repairs, we're actually leaving behind new pipe and we're putting in two new 48-inch valves on the 42 to make it easy to isolate.

Part of the problem with these pipes, they're very old. We can't isolate them easily. There's not enough valves or they don't work. So that's, again, part of the design is how we strategically place new valves when we're doing this work. Again, it's the complexity of the system, I don't have to go into too much detail.

So that's the capital, right. That's the emergency contract putting in pipe, spending money, that's where we can get up to a hundred percent principal loan forgiveness it looks like in response to both incidents.

The non-capital expenditures, like water distribution, water tankers, they're still being compiled. What we have today is a little over 2 million, I call it 2.1 million compiled non-capital. So that's not associated with the construction. That is expected to increase. There's more invoices coming. We haven't been billed for anything yet. And just because we're billed, myself and Yitz and Lisa have been talking to this, we're probably going to negotiate some of

4 5

these prices down and, you know, ready to do that. But, actually, the submittals to date are 2.1 million for the Hinchcliffe response. The 42-inch main break response on North 1st and Arch, we don't really have much non-capital other than overtime and the 20 percent response was a much smaller incident and we don't have that same.

So that's the financials. Yitz did
contact the insurance company to let them know.

I've provided some overview information of these
incidents. Like I said, it looks like the capital
we can get the majority of it covered with not
having to pay back the interest. The non-capital
piece, Yitz just mentioned. Yitz, did you say
there's 18 million in the emergency fund?

MR. WEISS: Yes, correct.

MR. MUELLER: So it looks like this 2.1 million non-capital, it might double in terms of what people submit. Again, that doesn't mean we automatically pay. We're going to scrutinize it. We're compiling. We're already talking about that, me, Yitz, and Lisa and trying to negotiate some of that.

Some of it I might also try to capitalize. There were some fire suppression tankers, that was

one of the main concerns was fire suppression. So we brought in tankers to augment that in case there was a major fire. There was five fires during this time period. They were all fought successfully and we supported Paterson FD and they were amazing. Everybody was really amazing through this. But I am expecting the expenses to go up. You know, police, security was provided by the Paterson PD, that kind of thing, so this could more than double in terms of what's submitted.

State Senator Wimberly wrote a letter, I actually forwarded it to you all on Monday,
August 18th. It was cosigned by Assemblywoman
Sumter and Assembylman Abdelaziz requesting four
things of the governor: Emergency financial
relief to offset residents and small business
expenses during the water crisis; utility bill
credits and forgiveness in collaboration with PVWC
due to undelivered and unsafe water service;
deploying rapid response grants and/or zero
interest loans to help businesses recover from
lost revenue; and prioritizing State and Federal
funding for replacement of water infrastructure to
prevent these incidents from happening again.

I talked to Senator Wimberly last night

and he said he knows we had a significant cost

ourselves non-capital, so the capital we're doing

through the principal forgiveness through New

Jersey DEP, but the non-capital he knows it was

extensive and he said he was going to revise the

letter and include or request to the governor to

reimburse PVWC for these non-capital costs as

well.

2.1

I haven't seen that updated letter yet, but I did talk to him last night and he said he was going to work on that.

So, again, we're still trying to work through this. We're still compiling. It's pretty fresh, so we are just compiling information. The insurance has been notified. I can provide any information they need of course. I can provide any information to Senate Wimberly. I already provided quite a bit, Congressman Pou as well, regarding funding and the policy paper that I submitted to the State last year regarding funding. The State's been very supportive, but given the vastness of our system and the needs and the age, it's not enough and I have certain proposals in there. I've redistributed those to folks. We're going to pick that back up and make

a case again for more longer term funding, because this is not going to be, you know, as Commissioner Friend said, it's not going to be three years and we're done. It's going to be pretty consistent.

President Sanchez, you asked me other areas of concern. This 42-inch over by Woodland Park is an area of concern. Again, the emergency contract we registered \$8 million, that's what we're using, so that's a great resource to be able to respond quickly. Again, it looks like we can get reimbursed for this from the State, which is also great. But over in Woodland Park we had what looked like a much smaller leak compared to Hinchcliffe which was a scale like an order, two orders of magnitude greater and even North 1st and Arch, much smaller leak, but we unearthed it and the pipe looks like it's in bad shape.

I just got off the phone right before this with my team, internal team and with Creamer saying, okay, let's test it, let's find out where the pipe is good, let's order 42-inch pipe, a hundred feet, 150 feet, get a shutdown plan.

You know, to Commissioner Friend's point, why can't it happen quicker, we need to shut down in a way that we don't impact tens of thousands of

people, so we're going to work through that. in the next few weeks, once we get the pipe on site and do our test pits and figure out where the pipe is good, we're probably going to put in a couple of line stops, come up with a shutdown plan, minimize impacts to customers, and go replace another hundred feet of pipe on this. It's about two miles away from North 1st and Arch and it's three or four miles away from Hinchcliffe. So, you know, that's the length of pipe we're dealing with as we unearth these things. 

That emergency contract is great. One thing is great to have because that allows us to respond. Even the analysis is a lot but we have a vast system, so it warrants that, and I might even come to the board in the next month or two and get another emergency contract so we can work concurrently or maybe a little more proactively.

We are also bidding two what we call on-call transmission or water main replacement contracts. Each are \$9 million out of the budget. The schedule you have in front of you shows those to bid in early '26. I just talked to my team yesterday internally and we all know we need to

move that up, so I will get back to you on a timeline, but it's certainly not going to be early

Go ahead, President Sanchez, please.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I believe Commissioner

Van Rensalier wants to -- Ron, you have something

to say?

COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Well, with respect to compiling a list of all costs, I'd be interested in seeing a line item cost for each area of concern, water distribution, overtime, infrastructure replacement, machinery, portable bathroom and showers. So when you're compiling your list, can you have a detailed list of all line item costs so we can clearly see capital versus non-capital, what those costs were? I think that would be helpful.

MR. MUELLER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Also, maybe what you should include in that list, I think when things like this have happened in the past, we've given the customers some type of a rebate as a result of the inconvenience that they, you know, suffered. So maybe based upon what we did on a rebate in the past to other areas that should be taken into

consideration too.

2.1

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: The thing is, you know, especially in Paterson and Passaic, because the affected person is not the owner of the meter. The landlord is the owner of the meter. And the person that was affected is the tenant. So we need to walk a fine line with that and I want you to keep that that mind. It's not like, I think Paterson has a different type of tenant than Passaic does, so we have a lot of non-owners.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Good point.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: The landlord gets the benefit.

COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: I agree with you, President, you're correct on that.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: And my thinking, on the other hand, Jim, is the question is, where do we go from here because there's a lot of anxiety not only on the people that were affected, but there's a lot of anxiety of the ratepayers. Do you know what I'm saying? I mean, somehow people have been complaining that the water bill is getting a little higher than the rates that we, the five dollars that we kind of anticipated.

People are like coming over to me and say, listen,

you know, it's not five dollars different, I don't
know why, you know, maybe because Passaic Valley

Sewerage increases their rate by 20 percent, but

the question basically is this, where do we go

from here? Where the focus should be and what

stuff to be put on hold so we can concentrate on

this?

COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: To your point, Mr. President, the \$18 million that Yitz said we have in reserve seems wholly inadequate, so how are we going to pay for all of it, to your point? Where are we going to get the money? Jim said if we need two more contractors, \$9 million per contractor, that's 18 right there. What about all the other stuff?

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: So the question is moving forward, you know, it's like, you know, now we don't have cake to eat, now we get to eat bread basically. The question is how do we come up with — how do we adjust? We definitely need to make some adjustments on the stuff we're thinking about. What's necessary, what's not necessary, you know, and I think everybody at this table is thinking, you know, what's our priority now and that's basically what we're asking Administration

to come up and kind of, let's work on this because
this type of event could keep Passaic Valley Water

out of business basically.

2.1

that little bit. I don't know who else, I'm sure you were at the site, I've been to a number of main breaks in my life and this was probably one of the most difficult locations I've ever seen. So in terms of the response, shout out to everybody who was involved and in getting through this, I know it has to be very painful for you and so forth, so on that.

But I think, you know, I think we realize it reinforces the need for this capital program that we've been talking about at least since I've been here. But I think to the President's point as well, perhaps at some point maybe as you reevaluate how we move forward, maybe there's a listing of what the risks are, right. So where do we prioritize and what are our highest risks, you know, and how do we kind of move forward looking at that.

But, you know, all across the country we see underinvestment in the utilities so I think that the fact that we have a plan and revisit it

is really, really important.

2.1

what Debbie said. It was one of the questions I was going to ask this morning. Do we have a listing of all of the clay pipes that exist throughout our system, because they seem to be the ones that are older and more susceptible to a break and do we have a listing by age? In other words, these types we're told are 140 years old, do we have a listing or a diagram of the pipes that are say over a hundred years old? Because those are the areas that I think we should be addressing because those are the main areas where we're going to get breaks because they're old systems and then they have to be replaced prior to maybe something that's 50 years old.

And then just one other follow-up question, Jim. I don't know if you covered it or I missed it. As of this morning, how many residents are without water and how many residents are on a Boil Water Program?

COMMISSIONER COTTON: Everybody got water now.

MR. MUELLER: I'm sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Everybody got water?

COMMISSIONER COTTON: Right. Everybody has water now, right?

2.1

MR. MUELLER: Yes. I was going to answer the question. Yes, no one has no water, so everyone has water. There's about a hundred thousand people still in a Boil Water. We needed to pause the Flushing Hydrant Program. You know, I was going to go through the details, but it's not important I guess.

The Hydrant Flushing Program was restarted this morning. Once the North 1st and Arch break was handled and, you know, we have a log update on pvwc.com in terms of progress on flushing. We need to flush these areas, then we need the bacterial test and once that clears we would lift the Boil Water for everybody in Paterson and Prospect Park and Manchester Utility would probably lift their Boil Water around the same time. They have to wait to flush their system until we pass Burhan's Pumping Station. So that's just a detail.

So this is where we are. We got a live update on pvwc.com. People can follow along with flushing. We're about 50 percent done. We paused it for the last couple of days because North 1st

and Arch. We started it this morning. So no one is without water and hoping to lift the Boil Water as soon as possible, but it does take time to flush all these hydrants.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Do we have a listing of the pipes, what they're composed in terms of their age and if they're clay or whatever they are?

MR. MUELLER: We do. We do, Commissioner. About 70 percent of the pipes in Paterson are greater than a hundred years old. About 70 percent of the pipes in Passaic are greater than a hundred years old. Clifton not as old, but they're cast iron. We don't really have clay pipes. Are you saying "clay"? We don't really have clay pipes. Cast iron is pretty prevalent. Some of these transmission mains were steel. The 24 was cast iron. The 30 was steel. The 42 was steel. So some of them are concrete. They're all different and they can be different in different sections. So it's just an artifact of the system. But that's the age. We do have the list of these pipes.

One of the problems is, when we look at the pipes, when I looked at the pipes we actually

25

removed, a lot of the section looked great and it was a low point, it was a certain point that gave way and we just needed to get it out of there and put new pipe in, but some of the sections were great. But you're not going to take that four-foot piece -- you know, it's better to restore the whole lengths, some of the joints are really suspect. We're still seeing that with the 24 inch. I had to come back, the Great Falls piping alignment, realignment on the 37 on the schedule I'm sharing screen on, row 37, that's one where we want to revisit the scope just based on what we learned when we were digging all these pipes and we're probably doing more extensive pipe placement at the top of the hill than the bottom of the hill and even across the river, we'll be in much better shape. That's this project here.

So we have to do design. We have to take our time with the design to minimize impacts to customers. But then we're moving into construction in early to mid 2026. So they're actually on the site resurfacing it now based on all the new pipe we put in and based on the joints that we unearthed and the pipe that's under Hinchcliffe and encroached upon. It's pretty

complicated. So, again, we need some time to design them and that's going to be a major project.

One thing I want to clarify though. When Yitz said 18 million in emergency fund, there's a difference between non-capital expenditures, which are basically cash, and capital, which is, you can pay in cash, but a lot of it's bonded, right. So we would need \$18 million in cash to fund those two on-call contracts. That's out of the capital budget, it's funded, right. So we got the approved capital budget, we're just getting the projects out. And, again, this emergency contract is great to have because that allows us to respond in realtime.

So I don't want you to think we need dollar for dollar for each of these. For capital it just doesn't work that way. And, Yitz, you can maybe explain it a little bit in more detail if needed. But it's not like we need \$18 million to fund two on-call water main replacement contracts. That's all part of our capital plan that the board approved and we'll be advertising shortly getting in replacing local pipes. And then we have project specific main replacements like Great

Falls that's extensive and has to be really well
thought out. So we minimize impacts to customers
but still accomplish where we need to do. I just
want to clarify that.

is, I think Commissioner Friend's question would be like, how many areas, is it 20 areas that supply water to different parts of the city?

That's what he was trying to get to. Like, okay, we know there's three behind Hinchcliffe. Where's the other one? Okay. North 1st and Arch Street, I don't believe they lost water in that area.

MR. MUELLER: They did not. They did not.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: They did not lose water, so I don't understand, everybody was like going crazy, but they still had water.

MR. MUELLER: Well, they lost water -when we went to isolate that main to fix it, we
had to go over by Riverview Terrace, which is like
two miles away, because there was no valves on
this transmission. We had to isolate. Had there
been, there basically would've been no impact.

That's actually one of the things we're doing, Commissioners, putting in two new 48-inch valves under that North 1st and Arch to be able to

isolate when we need to more locally and not have to impact people two miles away, and it impacted Fair Lawn.

So, again, the work we're doing now, this section is in much better shape. But that was part of the problem, so it wasn't the break, it was having to isolate the break and having to go so far away to do it to cut off water to the Riverside section of Paterson and Fair Lawn.

Now, the wholesale folks are supposed to have their own backup supplies, right. Some of their backup supplies are not adequate and they're revisiting that. Like, they have to be ready in an emergency. Things can happen, you know. So I think those other utilities like Fair Lawn and Manchester Utilities, they're evaluating that right now because they didn't have adequate backup if something went wrong with ours and it's not like one hundred percent -- yeah, go ahead.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I'm sorry, I know that you wanted to inform the commissioners basically, and we appreciate that. But more than that, you need to sit down with the administration and find out what areas could be, because and at the end of the day we need to supply water and we need to

basically that's, you know, we need -- we have a lot of funds and a lot of resources and, basically, what needs to be done is basically money to be put on hold and just like go with this, because we keep bonding and doing this and that, but in the end we're going to have to pay all of that back and the question is, you know, I think what the commissioners would like to see is an investment which is piping and whatever we need to do distribution.

So I guess what we're asking you to do is basically go back to the drawing board and say, listen, you know, we could put this on hold, put this on hold, move stuff here and there, and kind of let's get this done, what would wait.

President, I think there should be some emphasis on prioritizing expenditures like, you know, hiring people with excessive salaries and purchasing Mercedes vehicles that we don't need.

We need to really focus so that, you know, our priority's keeping the water running, right. And so let's take a hard look at, you know, making these expensive expenditures and let's put them on the back burner so that we secure running water

for our ratepayers and our residents.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: And, Jim, also, I had sent you an article a couple of weeks ago concerning utilities that were using drones in order to determine the condition of them on the ground facilities and if we had drones, would they have helped in this situation at all?

MR. MUELLER: They might have helped locate them -- well, it was dark. So we found a leak, it wasn't a problem detecting the leak. These pipes ended up to be greater than 25 feet underground because we can never get excavated deep enough get to the pipe.

So I don't know. We could certainly fly drones. I talked to the county the other day who has drone pilots. We have a drone, we don't have anybody qualified to fly. Like Commissioner Van Rensalier said, we want to keep salaries tight, we don't want to buy Mercedes vehicles. So we'll try to get somebody to fly the drone. I'm working with the county and maybe a shared services agreement. I just mentioned it to them the other day out in the field, so that's the kind of things we could explore. They have drones that we wouldn't want to use because there's certain

software in them that, I don't want to go into

details, that the Department of Homeland Security

would say, don't use that. But we have a drone

they could use and they don't have a qualified

pilot. So we have to get somebody licensed.

That's the kind of stuff we need to work

through, Commissioner. But, yeah, sure, we could

on that and we'll keep costs down.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: If there are communities around us or utilities around us that do have drones that maybe we can enter into some type of shared agreement with them rather than purchasing our own that they would let us use their drones or cooperate with them.

fly drones. We'll try to work through the details

MR. MUELLER: Commissioner, that's a great idea. Like I said, the county has drones. We would not want to use them on our infrastructure. We have a drone we want them to maybe get licensed on and fly our drone. So the shared service agreement I mentioned, that's exactly what I'm talking about.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: So, Jim, how can they see something 25 feet under? How does that work?

2

4 5

67

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. MUELLER: Commissioner, it's not perfect. Again, we can try to do everything we can to detect as much as we can. We put a smart ball through the 42-inch line, it did not detect the area of Woodland Park that I mentioned earlier. It didn't detect it. So, you know, we are doing a lot of assessments, they're not perfect. Right. The data we get back isn't always perfect, so right now I'm test fitting in Woodland Park to determine whether the pipe is good. That's sometimes the best way to do it, especially when you have a known area of suspected problems. We do have tens of thousands of feet of pipe. It's been decades since major investments were made in any of these pipes and that's part of the problem is it's in catch-up mode now and that's absolutely part of the problem.

I have a prioritized plan. We have a prioritized budget. I'm working with the State to get principal loan forgiveness. I'm petitioning state senators, congresspeople and Commissioner LaTourette of NJDEP to further change those policies and allow areas like ours to get more principal loan forgiveness. Happy to include all of you in those conversations if you can push,

that would be wonderful, right.

So I absolutely get it. I do think we have a prioritized capital program. If there's more you want to put on hold, fine. You know, just tell me what you want me to do. You're going to ask me to put stuff on hold. I mean, we bid out a \$32 million residual handling upgrade that the board awarded in July for the treatment plant. That facility's 50 years old. I can't wait on that or we'll fail residuals and the treatment plant will get knocked out. We have Levine Reservoir storage, we still have New Street and Great Notch to go, and that's why I told the EPA that's going to be 30 years, 2054, because of all this other stuff we have to do.

So I do believe I'm prioritizing the plant. I believe I'm communicating that with regulators. I believe I'm communicating that with all of you. But I'm happy to keep prioritizing, scale back, lower costs, do more with less, absolutely. Happy to have those discussions with all of you.

COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Jim, if I may? I think we all appreciate the complexity and the difficulty and the road ahead is going to be long

16

18 19

20 2.1

22

23

24

and there's a lot of things to consider, but I just kind of want to change the subject to the softer side for a minute to make sure that you got a plan to kind of communicate with our customers, with the people who helped us out, you know, the local officials, whether it's thank you notes, whether it's updates, just to make sure that that doesn't get lost. Again, I know it's the softer side and so forth, but I think it's an important part of making sure that we keep our constituents involved, that we keep our partners involved, and that we just actually remember to say thank you and keep them involved as we go forward.

And again, as part of the plan, you know,

And again, as part of the plan, you know,

I know you're intent on doing that as well, but I

can't be a former communications person and not

kind of just --

COMMISSIONER COTTON: How would you relate it to them? How would you get out to the 800,000 customers?

COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Well, that's part of the problem. I mean, I could sit down with Bryan, whether it's bill inserts, bill messages. You know, I know we don't have a very good -- I mean, even formally, like the firefighters and the folks

1 who gave out water and handed out, and the 2 volunteers. 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You're absolutely right. 4 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: All of those people. 5 6 They were such an important part of helping. 7 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: The mayor and municipal --8 9 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Yeah, something. 10 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Thanking them for 11 their police department and fire department, 12 whatever. 13 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Yeah, whatever. 14 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Public relations. 15 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER COTTON: If I may? On 17 Sunday, it happened on Friday, so on Sunday I 18 actually was with the crew from Passaic Valley 19 Water and we went to five senior sites. I 20 actually drove in front of them to make sure, 21 because they had the big trucks, they had the 22 pallets of water, they had the forklift. They 23 needed the forklift to get the pallets off the truck, but I made sure and I said to them, follow 24 25 me because I'm going to take you on the street

that it's not hard for you to make a turn, because 1 2 the City can be congested with cars parking. 3 So, actually, Jim, we went to five senior sites, dropped off two pallets of water at each 4 5 site to make sure. The only thing I made sure that someone was at the building to take it 6 7 because I couldn't stay there and give out water 8 too. 9 So I got to say that, Jim, your guys were 10 really, really good. I mean, that was that 11 Sunday, it was really getting very hectic that 12 weekend. 13 MR. MUELLER: I agree. Everybody was 14 great. I thanked a lot of folks personally. I 15 went on the News ad nauseam. 16 And also, let me just say this, because it 17 really shook me, we had 54 staffs in the impacted 18 This impacted our people. 19 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: I believe it. I 20 understand it. 21 MR. MUELLER: And given everything I was 22 walking through, the details of the incident, and 23 we all know, but I had a chance like Thursday, so 24 it was almost a week in, and it was on the site

and one of our guys was like, hey, were you in the

impacted area, yeah. He said, how - and this was in the pit, like on his truck and I was like in the middle of rubble - I said, how bad was it.

They said it was bad. And this was, I don't know why, this really struck me. He said he flew his dad in the day before the incident started. Now, his dad is sick, out of water, can't flush the toilet, can't shower. So the sanitation piece of this, that's one of the reasons why we did water tankers, which were expensive, we wanted people to be able to flush their toilets.

COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Absolutely.

MR. MUELLER: That was a key thing. He said it started to smell, you know, whatever. And I won't name names, I just walked away and said, you know, this impacted us too as people, and people have friends and family. I'm sure you all do.

So there's a lot of components to it. But just hearing those kind of stories, and I know others were impacted. I would ask people at the water distribution, how bad was it, you know.

So I totally understand customers' frustration, number one. Totally understand that we have to get capital out, even with the

complexities, right. We got to solve and move,
without a doubt, and we're doing that. It's just
taking a little bit longer. I wish we had it out

before this break.

I will say, we learned a lot. I didn't realize, because a lot of us worked 24/7, a lot, and I have to figure out a way to thank the company, like all the people you just said, because they worked non-stop for like 12 days in a row.

COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Absolutely.

MR. MUELLER: But just, we went to fix one of the joint leaks, the new pipe we put in, the area we connected to, because Hinchcliffe it was really hard. I couldn't just go where it was optimum, I had to deal with topography and the infrastructures that was there. So we had to deal with what we had.

So we connected to these joints. It was like a strainer. That 24-inch line, it's critical to get back in. So we repaired it, just on the overnight, we chose to do it on the overnight when people were asleep, maybe they won't notice or try to minimize the businesses, restaurants, that kind of stuff. And we had like a four-hour window.

That's the way the system is. I had to drag it to six or seven hours to let the concrete ferrule and that was already an area that was Boil Water, so we already had another advisory, so that's part of the complexities we're trying to solve as some major infrastructure. We had a four-hour window and not impact somebody. We need to design something, a bypass. But the bypass could be costly, but it's worth it to our own people, people that live in this area.

And we'll get to Passaic. We'll get to Clifton. Some of these areas will be the same, like we have to design a, we don't want to knock out 50,000 people in Clifton or 10,000 people -- you remember the hydrant, Commissioner, that you called me about in Passaic. It was a hydrant emergency. We knocked out a good part of the City. This was a year and a half ago, I don't know if you remember, you called me from a event. You said why are we out of water.

So that's just the system and it's not just Paterson. Paterson has the most pipes, 220 miles; Clifton has 210. It's less dense, but salt. Passaic only has 70. 49 miles and I can't even isolate for a hydrant, I couldn't even

isolate that. So this is just the complaint.

That's why we need the design, becaus

That's why we need the design, because we need to think that through. So we're moving on it. I'm giving you that schedule. It was already on my priority list along with everything else. I mean, it's fair, right. We have a lot to do.

We're moving in circles, again, and it's for our own staff, for our residents, and I totally understand the frustration. I told them that personally. Anybody I met, you know,

Commissioner, I dropped off some cases myself.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: Yes, I saw you did.

MR. MUELLER: Just to give some relief.

Meeting people on the corner, here's two cases.

But most of our staff did that, not me. I

certainly wasn't a superhero by any means. It was

all hands on deck to try to get relief, but that's

some of the complexities we're dealing with.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I don't think anybody has any criticism for anything that occurred. Everybody has praised, you know, what was accomplished.

MR. MUELLER: Understood.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Our main concern is how do we stop this from happening again, that's

1 the main. 2 MR. MUELLER: So the emergency -- like 3 Woodland Park, you know, President Sanchez asked me where's the main area of concern. I know right 4 now, I was just on a call. They got a leak. 5 6 looks minor at the street like compared to what we 7 dealt with, that's why we let it wait actually. We got to do North 1st and Arch. But then we went 8 9 there, the pipe is bad. 10 So right now we're going to order another 11 hundred of 42 inch. We're going start planning 12 line stops. We're going to work out a shutdown 13 plan to try to minimize that area within the next 14 couple of weeks. It's just a hundred feet of 15 pipe. 16 COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Where do you 17 order these pipes from? 18 MR. MUELLER: Alabama. 19 COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Alabama the 20 state? 2.1 MR. MUELLER: The state. I don't remember 22 the supplier, but they're from Alabama.

of 30.

23

24

25

Here's another part of the problem. I'm

through with 300 hundred foot of 24 and 300 foot

They came

not just trying to throw problems out. These are details we got to work through. We have oddball pipe, in spite of Veolia or New York, who have a lot of big pipe. We were calling everybody and they were saying, will you write a check. Yeah, we'll write a check, don't worry about it, we're good for it, if you have pipe. They're like what size do you need. 30. We don't have 30. Okay. A lot of people don't have a 30 inch. 51, which is one our bigger pipes —

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Buy more and keep it in storage.

MR. MUELLER: Commissioner, that's what we're doing. I'm buying 42-inch right now. We got to buy maybe a hundred feet of 51, that's exactly what we're going to do and then you store it.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: We have a bigger issue. Like Jerry said, there's no question of your performance, of how we performed, how you guys did it and I want to commend Passaic Valley Water for doing that.

The question is, how do we start prioritize our budget and expenses that we have because we have a big problem here with piping,

1	with old piping and this should be our focus, how
2	we move forward is important. You know what I'm
3	saying. We cannot be focusing on other things.
4	We need to get this resolved first and then move
5	onto other things. That's what I think.
6	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: What do we
7	do, what's our contingency if we don't, we can't
8	locate the pipe that we need, the piping that we
9	need, what re going to go to do?
10	MR. MUELLER: What we did here is kept
11	calling nationally and Alabama had it.
12	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: We're lucky
13	that Alabama came through.
14	MR. MUELLER: What we're going to do is
15	store pipe and we're going to store it at Point
16	View, store it at Little Falls. That's what we're
17	going to do.
18	COMMISSIONER COTTON: They drove it up
19	here the next day?
20	MR. MUELLER: They drove it it took
21	the 30 inch was on site the next day. They drove
22	two tandem crews, drove through the night again.
23	COMMISSIONER COTTON: From Alabama?
24	MR. MUELLER: Yes. The 24 inch didn't get
25	there till Friday. So it depends on the size.

1 But we have oddball sizes of pipe, which doesn't 2 help. You know, a lot of people have 24, 36. 3 don't know, it's just how the system was designed. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I just had a 4 5 thought. When developers came into Clifton and 6 they want to build something that, you know, is going to put pressure on our sewer and our water 7 8 system and what have you, we make the developer 9 for the approval upgrade for some of those 10 systems. Okay. 11 When we get a request for 200 residents 12 and units through our line, do we do a study and 13 say, hey, you got to do this, put in a bigger line 14 or whatever? 15 MR. MUELLER: We do, when we know about 16 it. Sometimes we don't know about it. And it 17 happens, you know. But, yes, we do when we know 18 about it. 19 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: We should make the 20 developers responsible for that. 21 COMMISSIONER COTTON: You can't make the 22 developer responsible, it's got to be the city if 23 they're being approved through the city. 24 MR. MUELLER: Or the wholesaler, the

planning board. When we have wholesalers like

Little Falls, put a billboard right near our 51-inch main and we fought it, we pushed in and said you can't do that, and they had to protect it, but they approved that without our approval.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: We should send a letter perhaps to each of the towns, the planning boards, the zoning boards, what have you, saying the development of a certain amount of units or square footage, whatever it might be, you know, we, on behalf of Passaic Valley Water Commission, make sure that our system's going to be able to support it as part of your approval process.

MR. MUELLER: I couldn't agree more,

Commissioner. I talked to Yaacov about sending a

letter to all the wholesalers in the cities

saying, a little bit stronger, but along the same
theme.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I'm a nice guy.

MR. MUELLER: I'm a nice guy too, but this is how important this is. Or else we're chasing it back to the system. It happened in Garret Heights, it happened in areas of Paterson. So many citizens -- I had a "barber shop" talk last night, and they were talking to me and they said, it's the developer. That's what they're telling

me.

So, you know, that's, I would say we need to be stronger because of the infrastructure, because of the amount of people impacted when it failed and the age. One section can take us down. Out of 10,000 feet, you can be 400 feet of it that could take us down.

I've been talking to Yaacov about it. I'd like to revisit that saying you have to do this, without our water or you put us a risk.

Go ahead, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: No, no, I'm saying, when they asked you that question that time, what did you say?

MR. MUELLER: I kind of listened. You know, I don't make policies for Paterson. I listen and I also don't know all the specific -- they knew the specific development.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: They probably were talking about certain people, I would think they were. But then you couldn't say you don't know those developments on North 7th Street, they're three miles apart. So how do this development cause that, I don't know.

MR. MUELLER: The low pressure, more

1 development doesn't help that. But, again, 2 replacing the mains, it's all important. 3 But, Commissioner Friend, it's already on our radar. Like I said, everything's on the 4 radar. We're moving on some of them. 5 6 COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: There was 7 some speculation perhaps that the earthquake... MR. MUELLER: That's a great point and I 8 9 was asked that repeatedly. My answer was, it 10 certainly didn't help, right. We had five, I went 11 and looked it up, how many earthquakes we had this 12 year. I think there were five in New Jersey. 13 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Two in the last 14 couple of months. 15 MR. MUELLER: Hillsdale was, that's right 16 around -- that's five miles away. That's a pretty 17 good size. They're not huge. I think we had a 18 4.8 last year. It's starting to get pretty big. 19 It didn't help. 20 We're doing interconnections. We're doing 2.1 testing on old systems. So you place part of it 22 and it can break somewhere else. That doesn't 23 help. Fair point. COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Could that 24 25 happen, could that be a cause of breakage?

1 MR. MUELLER: Absolutely. 2 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: In Clifton when you 3 apply for a certificate of compliance or, you know, to either build a new building or even 4 change a use of a building, attached to our form 5 6 they have, in terms of filling out the discharge 7 to the sewer. MR. MUELLER: Yeah. 8 9 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Maybe we can check 10 with the towns in terms of --11 MR. MUELLER: That will be great. 12 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: They can inspect it 13 once a week, twice a week to go see part of that 14 implementation and then you pay a connection fee 15 based on the discharge. 16 MR. MUELLER: We'll look into it. 17 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: They provide the CO 18 and permitting. 19 MR. MUELLER: I think I included in one of 20 my director reports about development and maybe 2.1 passing on fees, which is another, how many fees 22 you want to pass on. We have looked at it. 23 There's a lot we've got. It just reemphasizes. 24 agree, I totally agree with that. 25 COMMISSIONER COTTON: Somebody build a

building, they don't tell you how to get a connection.

MR. MUELLER: We get a lot of applications, I will say, sometimes, and I can't even explain it, it's before I got here, but sometimes things didn't always work that way, you know.

Like, the Little Falls billboards to me is a great example. That was approved by the planning board, we had no input.

We had another developer, North Jersey put us into it. We didn't get it. Thank God North Jersey said, hey, by the way, you should take a look at this. We did.

We need a better process, like, how can a planning board approve this if water's not there. That's what doesn't make sense. I don't know if there's a law or regulation that requires it. That would be the change, right, can we change that. If there's a law we can review it we can control it and pass on fees appropriately and say, this is the existing system, that's a 51-inch pipe, 120 years old, 10,000 feet long, no, you can't come near that. You got to stay at least 200 feet away. They were right on top of it and

we caught it, but they already approved it. We went back in the field, we caught them in the field. Somebody drove by them and said, hey, by the way... Pat jumped on it, went out there, that was because somebody drove by and happened to care and said, hey. So that's recent.

But I agree with you, we need a better process and I think not just for our cities, but some of the wholesaler areas.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Jim, I think we need, when they do development, they're creating the language and I think PES&G pay for permits and they connect you. So I don't think, if I'm doing a large development, it should be treated differently. But small developments in Paterson and Passaic, they're going to tap into our main and all that. There's no way to prevent it.

MR. MUELLER: So that would be a fee. I don't know how to slice the pie.

COMMISSIONER COTTON: The line is already running down the middle of the road anyhow. How do they cut into the water pipe to get water to that --

MR. MUELLER: So the applications we review and they schedule this. They send it out

to an inspector. We put in meters. We do that. 1 2 COMMISSIONER COTTON: Oh. 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I'm not talking about one or two-family homes. 4 COMMISSIONER COTTON: I'm talking about 40 5 6 or 50, that's what I'm talking about too. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: But somebody who's 7 building 300 units or 250 or 20-story high rise or 8 whatever it is, the larger projects are really 10 going to have an affect on the water system. 11 Okay. I mean, we could start at 25 or 50 units. 12 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Jim, back in the day 13 even we got the mayor in Paterson back then, I 14 think it was Joey Torres. You know, he beg us to 15 do it. It was an affordable housing project. The 16 development made money and we had to replace the 17 pipe, not in the inside, I found that to be, how 18 do you get that to work. That's part of the 19 sidewalk. 20 MR. MUELLER: I think it was a half 2.1 million dollars. I think they did pay for it. 22 One of the developments, that was 2019, 2020. 23 might be a different development. 24 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Maybe it was 25 different, but the one --

1 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Based on your past 2 experience, do you have any experience in terms of 3 the charging fees to the developer for hooking into the --4 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Well, they pay a fee, 5 the developer pay a fee. They pay an application 6 7 fee. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: 8 They pay an 9 application fee, but they don't pay --10 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: No, and they pay the 11 fees. Whatever the fee it cost you, it costs you 12 a thousand dollars for them to hook up the 13 waterline and fire line. There's already a fee in place, Jerry. Passaic Valley Water does the work 14 15 and they pay a fee to do it. 16 MR. AMODIO: I think you're talking about 17 main upgrades. 18 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Yes. 19 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Anyway, I guess the 20 purpose of the meeting was to get updated. 2.1 MR. MUELLER: I just wanted to brief you. 22 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: And, Commissioners, we 23 want certain priorities, we want to make sure that 24 and we're going to look for it. And I think this 25 concern, where we're going to end up, stuff like

1 that keep happening. Ultimately, the ratepayers 2 pay for it. The question they're 3 COMMISSIONER COTTON: going to ask me is that, forget about development, 4 they're going to want to know how they're going to 5 6 get paid back. They want to know if they had to 7 go to wholesale, how they're going to get paid. They want to know how they're going to get a 8 9 credit on their water bill. And I need to give 10 them answers, what should I tell them? 11 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: That's to my point 12 earlier that we need a communication plan on how to answer those questions. 13 14 COMMISSIONER COTTON: Right, right. 15 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: I'd be happy to help 16 with that. I have a little background in that as 17 well. 18 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: That's going to be 19 your next --20 MR. MUELLER: You want to take that on, I 2.1 think that's wonderful. 22 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: We'll talk. MR. MUELLER: We'll talk. 23 24 If you want to give a credit on the bill, 25 that's not my call. If you want to give a credit

1 on the bill. 2 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Listen, I'll tell you, 3 me, I'm against it, personally, because --MR. MUELLER: I get it, I get it. 4 5 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I'm against that 6 because like I said, most of the people are 7 tenants and the landlords are the ones that pocket 8 the money. 9 COMMISSIONER COTTON: The issue is, and, 10 Jim, you saw me --11 MR. MUELLER: You were all over. 12 COMMISSIONER COTTON: -- the issue to me 13 was people were getting water that was not 14 affected and when they were distributing the 15 water, looking at an address, I don't know if 16 North 1st is in the 1st Ward. I don't know if 17 North 5th is in the 5th Ward. They didn't know. 18 So they didn't know which area was affected, so 19 they just gave an area. So, now, how we going to 20 determine to give somebody something back if we 2.1 don't know he was affected. That's going to be 22 the hardest problem. 23 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Also, on businesses, 24 the first thing they should do really is check

their insurance coverage if they have concerns.

1	Normally, there's a loss of income provision in
2	the business insurance policy.
3	COMMISSIONER COTTON: That's the same
4	thing with renters insurance. If you have renters
5	insurance you could be compensated for being
6	displaced.
7	MR. AMODIO: Mr. Mueller mentioned a
8	letter addressing some of those issues.
9	MR. MUELLER: And I emailed it. But he
10	did say, Senate Wimberly said he was going to
11	update the letter to also request the governor to
12	reimburse PVWC costs. Whether they will or not,
13	who knows.
14	COMMISSIONER COTTON: Everybody gets
15	infrastructure
16	MR. MUELLER: One of the items is
17	COMMISSIONER COTTON: Anybody get an
18	answer from the governor yet?
19	MR. MUELLER: He hasn't answered.
20	COMMISSIONER COTTON: Oh.
21	COMMISSIONER RIZZI: To your point, I
22	mean, maybe that's it. Maybe there's a standard Q
23	and A, what are we doing about reimbursement.
24	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Listen, the governor,
25	and Ruby can tell you this more than anybody,

she's been involved, he's on the way out. He doesn't care about anything. He just, you know, goofing around and doing nothing and waiting for his time to be over and making friends with the republican candidates. That's what he's doing.

So I'll tell you one thing, that's our problem. I know you guys got paid. I pray to God every day. But in reality, God's telling me, listen, keep going, getting up early, my son, and keep working, because that's all I'm going to give you.

My point to you is, it's all bullshit, Jim. Don't depend on those guys.

MR. MUELLER: I'm not.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Because Wimberly has good intentions, but behind the check, he don't care anymore.

MR. MUELLER: President Sanchez, can I ask for some direction? What should I say when I'm asked repeatedly on the street or on email or on socials, which I try to ignore, but when are we going to get a credit on the bill, what do you want me to say? I'm happy to work on any communication plan you want.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Tell them we haven't

made that decision yet.

2.1

I think we should talk.

MR. MUELLER: That's helpful though. Right now I'm silent.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You're not the first communicator --

Question? Hello, hello. If you're talking about giving people credit -- can you hear me? Can you hear me? How do you know -- do you know exactly who did not have water at all, because I am grateful, okay, first of all, I'm grateful for Passaic Valley Water, their staff, everyone that was involved. I mean, this is an emergency, okay, it was out of control, out of our hands, but they addressed it as soon as, as quickest as possible.

I understand that a lot of people went through a lot of hardship, but this is what happens when an emergency and things like this happen. There's other states that has gotten worse. Okay. Now, not everybody in Paterson was out of water, so when you give the credit, you have to make sure it's those people that didn't have water at all and like the President said, they probably don't even pay the bill. It's the

tenant, the landlord, and they get pocket. Okay. So this thing happened, and when it happened, I think we did everything possible to help everybody in the situation; supplying the water, supplying those houses so they can come and take their showers. I mean, I know it's not easy, it can't be. But, you know, we have to take all this into consideration. Like it's like, I feel I'm listening to some of you like if we have control of this, but it happened, it happened and we addressed it and thank God we were able to address it and take care of it.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: It will complicate you forever if you start doing that. We didn't make it happen. It's costing us a lot of money. If you go that route, it will never end.

MR. MUELLER: I want direction. I don't want that route.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I got to go because I had to change a doctor's appointment for this meeting and he gave me a break.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Just one quick followup. There are other communities that have had similar situations, Hoboken, Jersey City. I remember they were without water for days also. I

1 would have somebody call over there to their water 2 department. 3 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: It happens in my business, everything's ruined, call your insurance 4 5 company. COMMISSIONER RIZZI: If I can add in that 6 7 that I do not recall in my life, my other life, you know, compensating people for loss, not to say 8 9 that it's never happened, but... 10 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You were a private 11 company, you're not a public company like we are. 12 MR. AMODIO: Commissioner Friend, for the 13 record, Hoboken break, they gave them a \$25 credit 14 and it was Veolia that did it. 15 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: What did they tell 16 the residents? 17 MR. AMODIO: I researched that when we had 18 the reservoir. They gave a credit. 19 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: That's per meter per 20 resident. We have units, you know what I'm 21 saying, if you do that, the owner doesn't even 22 live in the property, the landlord doesn't live in 23 the property, so if you give them a credit, you're 24 basically giving it to the landlord who doesn't 25 care.

COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: And the 1 2 minute the director tells residents that it's 3 under consideration to reimburse by the board, we're on the hook. So we got to be careful about 4 5 how we approach to answer that question. 6 MR. MUELLER: I agree. COMMISSIONER RIZZI: I think right now, 7 "it's under consideration. We're looking at Mr. 8 9 Wimberly, blah, blah", and we'll see what happens. 10 COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: I wouldn't 11 say the board is under consideration. 12 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I'd say the county's 13 talking about it, we're working with the State to 14 see what can be done. 15 COMMISSIONER RIZZI: That's good for now. 16 The only comment I have before we wrap up, 17 Jim, and I know you're probably looking at this as 18 well. Again, it's not immediate. But, you know, 19 do we have an emergency response plan. I know 20 you're going to do a followup and are we going to 2.1 revisit our emergency response plan and all that 22 good stuff? 23 COMMISSIONER COTTON: I mean, I couldn't 24 see you doing any better than what you did. You

did an excellent job, it was excellent. And I

1 went down there. I went down there in that hole 2 and I saw that water gushing out, I went. 3 (Interruption by the Court Reporter) MR. MUELLER: One of the things that we 4 need to invest in and it's competing investment, 5 right, I get it, we don't even have 6 7 hydraulic modeling system. COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Right, that's crazy. 8 9 MR. MUELLER: I got Mark Romain, Steve 10 Sateary, Bobby Healy with 120 years of experience 11 on my shoulders, going we're going to try this, 12 try that. I got Pat going, plans are crap and we 13 don't have a model. So we did the best we could. 14 We need to modernize -- it's soft. It's going to 15 look like soft costs. Those are amazingly 16 important to come up with an emergency response. 17 I was asked maybe 50 times how many people are 18 impacted. I said tens of thousands, model, in my 19 neighborhood, with some data, like pressure gauge, 20 we were manually pressure gauged. So we did the 21 best we could. A little laborious. We got 22 through it. 23 But the developer you're talking about, 24 with those tools --

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Come up with a plan.

1 MR. MUELLER: We are, we are. It's going to look like soft costs. 2 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I don't think the board's ever been against investing in what's 4 5 necessary for the day-to-day operation. 6 MR. MUELLER: Commissioner, the minute I said and, Commissioner Cotton, you were there, 7 that first press conference, I said my Board of 8 9 Commissioners approved an \$800 million capital 10 plan in 2023. That was Levine, the residuals, 11 lead service. That's a hundred million right 12 there, those three jobs. Never mind -- and the 13 water main replacements, we had to design them, so 14 you all, I'll tell you, you might not realize it, 15 people appreciated what you did. Even the press 16 said to me, hey, your board really came through. 17 You as a board, just that alone, you could say, 18 yeah, the director's got it to get it out the 19 door, but you approved it. The problem is, it's 20 not enough, all those locations we're talking 21 about. 22 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Everything 23 changes --24 COMMISSIONER COTTON: If I may, Friend, 25 Commissioner, when I go back to my council

1	meeting, they're going to say, Ruby, you're on the
2	board, tell me what you're doing and I tried to
3	explain to them
4	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Sorry, I got to go.
5	COMMISSIONER COTTON: I'm going to say
6	that we'll be forthcoming
7	MR. MUELLER: I'm happy to come to a
8	meeting. I know I'm going to regret that. I
9	know, I've seen and I heard. I'm happy to come to
10	your council
11	COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: Be careful with
12	Jackson when you go.
13	MR. MUELLER: I'm happy to do it. I'm
14	happy to come to your town.
15	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I was going to ask
16	you, did Gary ever call you about coming?
17	MR. MUELLER: No.
18	COMMISSIONER RIZZI: I know the President
19	is ready to leave. Before we leave, I'm thinking
20	maybe a very nice way to do it if there was a
21	letter from the board to the employees.
22	MR. MUELLER: That would be really good.
23	COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Saying thank you.
24	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: When Jim have a
25	meeting, I couldn't open my phone.

1	MR. MUELLER: I invited President Sanchez
2	to a command meeting, can you thank everybody, but
3	he had technical difficulties, so I said,
4	President Sanchez is on the other line and he
5	thanked everybody.
6	COMMISSIONER RIZZI: Yeah, I agree, to
7	every employee, all hands on deck, thank you for
8	whatever you did.
9	MR. MUELLER: They appreciated you coming
10	to the site. They appreciated I can't tell you
11	how many people, they're like, Commissioner Cotton
12	is like in it, she's, you know, just that, being
13	part of the responding.
14	I'll try to draft something. Listen, if
15	you want to do it, have at it.
16	COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: Can I ask a
17	question?
18	COMMISSIONER COTTON: Go ahead, Carmen.
19	COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: How many staffs
20	were involved with this, because why don't we like
21	have a special luncheon for those people that were
22	involved instead of a thank you and do a
23	recognition.
24	COMMISSIONER COTTON: There's people
25	volunteering giving out the water, so there's a

1	lot of people.
2	COMMISSIONER DEPADUA: I'm talking about
3	the employees, the Passaic Valley Water.
4	COMMISSIONER COTTON: Right.
5	MR. MUELLER: What I might do for those
6	people
7	COMMISSIONER COTTON: I had a young lady
8	up there
9	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Just send a letter.
10	We have Passaic Valley Water Commission T-shirts.
11	MR. MUELLER: What I might do is give
12	maybe two or three vacation days, because they
13	spent maybe two to four, I don't know, how much
14	work they put in, the extra.
15	COMMISSIONER COTTON: You got to close the
16	meeting.
17	MR. AMODIO: 10:51 a.m.
18	Thank you, everyone.
19	
20	(Meeting adjourned)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## $\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$

I, LYNANN DRAGONE, License No. XIO1388, a

Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State

of New Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a true and

accurate transcript of the hearing at the time and the

date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor Counsel for, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken.

I further certify that I am not an employee of anyone employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Lynann Dragons

Certified Court Reporter